W hat

 \mathbf{I}_{f}

 N_0

Gravity?

School year 2009/2010

Student teams in the fifty United States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico are eligible.

WING is DIME for student teams in grades 6 - 9

Program Announcement

WING is a NASA educational program for teams of students to design and build an experiment to be tested in a NASA microgravity drop tower.

Dropping
In a
Microgravity
Environment





DIME 2004 teams and NASA staff in front of the NASA Glenn 2.2 Second Drop Tower



National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Glenn Research Center



WHAT IS WING?

The What If No Gravity? (WING) is the middle school component of the NASA Dropping In a Microgravity Environment (DIME). Both WING and DIME are opportunities for students to experience the process of cooperative scientific investigation from start to finish. Student teams develop a hypothesis that can be tested through experimentation and then submit a research proposal. A panel of NASA scientists and engineers evaluate the proposals and select the best proposals.

WING is for teams with members in grades 6 through 9. Up to 52 proposals will be selected for full participation in WING. A WING microgravity experiment will be operated in the NASA 2.2 Second Drop Tower by NASA staff. Video data will be provided to the team after those operations and their experiment will be returned to the team.

DIME is for high school teams with team members in grades 9 through 12. A separate Program Announcement is available for DIME.

The annual WING and DIME competition is open to teams of students located throughout the fifty United States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

Further information is available on the WWW at http://spaceflightsystems.grc.nasa.gov/DIME.html

Questions and Comments

This brochure contains all necessary information for submitting entries to the WING competition. If you still have questions, please contact us at this address.

DIME:WING NASA GRC, MS 77-7 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135

Or by e-mail at: DIME@lists.nasa.gov

November

WING Key Dates (subject to change)

November 2, 2009 Postmark deadline for mailing proposal to NASA GRC

by December 18, 2009 Selected teams announced

by March 15, 2010 Delivery of experiment package to NASA

March 22 - April 2, 2010 WING drop operations at NASA



Complex plumbing in a DIME 2003 experiment for water streams in microgravity

Learning Goals Aligned With National Education Standards

The WING program supports national standards in science and technology. Participation in WING will contribute to student mastery of these standards:

National Science Education

- Science as inquiry
 - + Understanding of scientific concepts
 - + Skills necessary to become independent inquirers about the natural world
- · Science and technology
 - + Abilities of technological design

Science and Technology

- Design
 - + Students will develop an understanding of the attributes of design

Physical Science

- Forces and Motion
 - + An object that is not being subjected to a force will continue to move at a constant speed and in a straight line.
 - + Unbalanced forces will cause changes in the speed or direction of an object's motion.

WING OVERVIEW

Microgravity is a condition in which the effects of gravity are greatly reduced compared to those experienced in normal conditions on Earth. The microgravity condition is easily created by a free fall within a gravitational field. Refer to the DIME Educators Resource Guide or the DIME web page for more information on microgravity.

Getting Started

This WING Announcement includes the core details needed to enter the WING competition, part of the DIME competition. The DIME web site contains additional information related to microgravity, the drop tower, and classroom activities.

The most important factor in developing a winning proposal is to select a topic that shows how the behavior of an object or experiment will change when in a microgravity condition.

That behavior must also be observable and measurable in 2.2 seconds of microgravity which is the length of free-fall time in the NASA 2.2 Second Drop Tower.

Requirements for the design of an experiment are contained in the WING Experiment Design Requirements section of this document.

Information for guidance on selecting an experiment topic is contained in the *DIME Educators Resource Guide* which is available from the DIME web site.

WING Fundamentals

Developing a microgravity experiment need not be difficult. The following is a sequence of steps in a successful WING entry:

 Develop a force & motion topic and select an experiment.

- Conduct your experiment in the classroom to investigate the topic. Test the effect of gravity on the experiment by dropping the experiment from a short height.
- Develop a proposal as explained on page 3.
- 4. Submit the proposal as detailed on page 4.
- 5. Upon selection by NASA, build the proposed experiment, following guidelines in the WING Experiment Design Requirements section of this document.
- The NASA staff can assist selected teams with guidance and support as the team continues through the process of building their experiment.
- 7. Submit preliminary information to NASA for review.
- 8. Test the experiment in normal gravity (i.e. 1-g).
- 9. Submit final description to NASA.
- 10. Ship experiment to NASA on or before the deadline date.
- 11. NASA will drop the experiment in the drop tower and then forward the data to the team. The experiment will also be returned to the team.
- 12. Analyze the experiment results and submit a final written report to NASA.

WING Schedule

When preparing your proposal, observe the key dates listed on page 1, in particular, the proposal

submission postmark date. Proposal receipt confirmation will be sent to each team.

Evaluation

All entries will be evaluated by a team of scientists, engineers, and educators according to the selection criteria published in this announcement. Up to 52 WING proposals will be selected for development of the experiment by the team. Both selected and non-selected teams will be notified of the results.

Final Report

Following receipt of the team's experiment data from NASA, each team will prepare a final report and submit it to NASA.



Team ready to load their experiment in the drop tower during DIME Drop Days 2004

WING EXPERIMENT DESIGN OVERVIEW

This section will assist a WING team with understanding the scope of their proposed experiment. This section contains a summary of the capabilities of the NASA drop tower and a summary of constraints on the team's experiment. The selected teams need to consult the WING Experiment Design Requirements section of this document for the applicable requirements prior to building their experiment.

In summary, a team's experiment will be placed in the NASA Education Rig for drop operations in the drop tower. The Education Rig provides a video camera and lights to support all experiments. On the other hand, the Education Rig also imposes some constraints on the WING team's experiment to ensure the experiment will fit and function properly.

Creating the experiment apparatus is a learning exercise in itself as students learn to design and build equipment to meet a specific need.

Parents, local high schools and colleges are additional sources that could be called upon to assist a team if these skills are not available in the school. Please remember, though, that the ideas, concepts, etc. for this work should be the product of the students, not the work of older students, parents, or professionals.

The WING experiments must be made to attach with hook and loop fastener material (e.g. Velcro (R))

to the bottom of a clear plastic box mounted in the NASA Education Rig. The floor of the box will have the hook material so the loop material must be put on the WING team's experiment.


The Education Rig provides the following equipment and capabilities.

- 1. A video camera (30 frames per second) and zoom lens.
- Lighting at the front or back of the experiment.
 The student team can chose front or back lighting.

Constraints on a WING team's experiment include the following:

- 1. The experiment shall be constructed within a cube 25 cm on a side.
- 2. The experiment mass shall not be more than 5 kg (11 lbs).

Remember that the selected teams must follow the requirements contained in the WING *Experiment Design Requirements* section of this document when designing and constructing their experiment.



Education Rig from the NASA Glenn 2.2 Second Drop Tower showing some major components and the location of the DIME student experiments.

WING EXPERIMENT DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

The team's experiment must abide by the following constraints.

Physical Constraints

- 1. The team's experiment will be mounted in a clear plastic box mounted inside the NASA Education Rig.
- 2. The NASA clear plastic box will have hook-type material on the floor to which loop-type material adhere. Therefore, the bottom of the team's experiment should have loop-type material attached.
- 3. The team's experiment must fit within a cube with sides of 25 cm.
- 4. The team's experiment should weigh no more than 5 kg (11 lb).

Electrical Constraints

- 1. The team's experiment may contain standard dry-cell batteries, such as AA, C, or 9-volt.
- 2. The maximum voltage allowed in an experiment is 28 volts.

Safety Constraints

- 1. Dangerous or hazardous chemicals or chemical reaction products must not be used in the experiment.
- 2. Combustion experiments are not allowed for WING experiments. The following shall not be used in WING experiments: flammable gases, flammable liquids, explosives, fireworks, or model rocket engines.
- 3. Liquids and all other materials and components (with the exception of harmless gases), shall be contained within the team's experiment. The experiment apparatus should not 'leak' if it is turned sideways or upsidedown.
- 4. Biological samples, for the most part, may not be used in the experiment, except for common household products (e.g. cotton, wood, etc.). Live animals, even insects, are not acceptable.
- 5. Experiment pressures may not exceed 15 psig (pounds per square inch as measured on a gauge, relative to ambient pressure).
- 6. Lasers and radioactive materials must not be used in an experiment.
- The maximum voltage allowed in an experiment is 28 volts. Normal dry-cell batteries are allowed within a WING experiment. No electrical connections to the NASA Education Rig are permitted for WING experiments.
- 8. A waiver, granting an exception to a safety rule above, may be requested by sending an e-mail with such a request to dime@lists.nasa.gov with an explanation of how any associated hazards will be controlled. Such waiver requests must be submitted no later than October 15. Note that waivers will not be granted for exceptions to the bans on lasers or radioactive materials.

Camera View Constraints

1. The team's experiment should be designed so the operation of the experiment can be seen by the NASA Education Rig camera. The camera view area at the front of the clear plastic box is a rectangle approximately. 10 cm wide by 7.5 cm high. The center of the rectangle is approximately 15 cm above the floor of the clear plastic box.

WING PROPOSAL PREPARATION

Proposal Components

In order to be selected to build and drop an experiment, teams must demonstrate that the student members of the team understand the forces and motion principles involved in their proposal. They need to be prepared to build their experimental apparatus to send it to NASA. The team will submit a proposal containing the five sections listed below. Sections I-III are limited to a total of 1000 words.

I. Forces & Motion Involved

- A. Describe briefly and clearly the forces & motions involved in the experiment.
- B. Describe what you expect to happen to your experiment in 2.2 seconds of microgravity. This is your hypothesis.

II. Technical Plan

- A. Give a clear description of the experiment to be built. At least one figure or diagram of the experiment must be included in section IV of your proposal.
- B. Describe what the camera will see before the experiment is dropped and when it is falling in microgravity for 2.2 seconds.

- C. Describe testing the team will perform at your home location prior to sending the experiment to NASA.
- D. Be sure the design meets the safety and design requirements as specified in the *Experiment Design Requirements* section of this document.
- E. Describe how the results of your experiment will be used in the classroom to illustrate Forces & Motion.

III. Team Organization

Because experiment design, development, and operation is a team effort at NASA, this competition is designed to involve teamwork. In particular, teams should include students able to perform the following kinds of tasks:

- Planning the work to be done
- Designing and building an experiment
- Conducting experiments
- Communicating the results of the project

In your proposal, include the following material:

A. Describe the work necessary to carry out the proposed experiment, including the research to learn about your experiment.

IV. Figures

This section will contain from one to five single-sided pages of figures that illustrate the concept of the proposed experiment.

Evaluation Criteria

The proposal will be evaluated using a rubric (see page 8) with total points assigned as follows:

points assigned as rone vis.	
Forces & Motion Involved	17
 Technical Plan 	18
 Team Organization 	5
Creativity	5

WING Proposal Format

- 1. The proposal must be typed or computer-printed, double-spaced, using 12-point Times font, not bold or italic, and left justified. A 1-inch margin should be used for all sides of the pages. Portrait format shall be used for the pages.
- 2. Staple the proposal in the upper left corner.
- 3. Do not use a title page, folder or covers for the proposal.
- 4. The proposal title should be placed at the top of the first page.
- 5. Student names, advisor name(s), or any information that would identify the team, associated school or organization, or their location must not appear anywhere in the proposal. This will help ensure unbiased evaluation by the evaluators.



WING COMPETITION RULES

- 1. Teams located in the fifty United States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico are eligible to participate in DIME.
- 2. Team members must be students in grades 6 to 9.
- 3. Any school or organization may submit a maximum of four proposals in any one year. Adult advisors are encouraged to pre-select proposals for submission if more than four are prepared. In general, however, no more than one proposal will be selected from a single school or organization.
- 4. Each WING entry must be mailed in a single package. A WING entry comprises an Entry Form and three identical and complete copies of the proposal.
- 5. Late entries, entries sent by facsimile or electronic mail, and entries not complying with competition rules will be disqualified.
- 6. Entry materials will not be returned; please retain a copy for your records.
- 7. Proposals must follow preparation guidelines and format requirements as listed on page 4 of this announcement.
- 8. The WING entry must be postmarked by the date given on page 1 and addressed to:

DIME:WING Proposal NASA GRC, MS 77-7 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135

- Proposed experiments must conform to the requirements contained in the WING Experiment Design Requirements section of this document.
- 10. Safety rules for experiments:
 - a. Hazardous chemicals or chemical reaction products must not be used in the experiment.
 - b. Combustion experiments are not allowed for WING experiments. The following shall not be used in WING experiments: flammable gases, flammable liquids, explosives, fireworks, or model rocket engines.
 - c. Liquids and all other materials and components (with the exception of harmless gases), shall be contained within the team's experiment. The experiment apparatus should not 'leak' if it is turned sideways or upside-down.
 - d. Biological samples, for the most part, may not be used in the experiment, except for common household products (e.g. cotton, wood, etc.). Live animals, even insects, are not acceptable.
 - e. Experiment pressures may not exceed 15 psig (pounds per square inch as measured on a gauge, relative to ambient pressure).
 - f. Lasers and radioactive materials must not be used in an experiment.
 - g. The maximum voltage allowed in an experiment is 28 volts. Normal dry-cell batteries (e.g. AA, C, 9-volt) are allowed within a WING experiment.
 - h. A waiver, granting an exception to a safety rule above, may be requested by sending an e-mail with such a request to dime@lists.nasa.gov with an explanation of how any associated hazards will be controlled. Such waiver requests must be submitted no later than October 15. Note that waivers will not be granted for exceptions to the bans on lasers or radioactive materials.
- 11. WING committee decisions are final.



WING Entry Form

Complete forms in blue or black ink. Please print clearly.

Proposal title		
Grade Level(s) of team members (circle all that		
Proposal summary (maximum 100 words)	6 7 8 9	
Adult Advisor Information		
Lead advisor name:		
Mailing address:		
City: State	e: ZIP	
Telephone number:	Fax number:	
Lead advisor's e-mail address:		
Host / organization WWW address: http://		
developed by the team. We further affirm that competition. We understand that entries are the	G program is original and has been conceived and we have read and understand the rules of the WING e property of NASA and may be used for publicity or properly identified and cited and permission has been	
Lead Advisor's signature:		
Date:		

WING Proposal Evaluation

Each entry package submitted for the WING competition will be evaluated by the process explained here.

The WING entries are received and cataloged by a WING administrator. Each entry package is first evaluated for adherence to the WING competition rules. Entry packages which do not conform to the rules are put aside and are not further evaluated.


Please note that the WING rules state that proposals must be prepared in a manner which does not include team identification. This facilitates an evaluation by a panel of NASA scientists and engineers without bias to factors such as a team's location.

The WING program is intended for microgravity experiments where gravity has a significant effect. Therefore, all proposal research topics will be pre-screened for microgravity effects by the NASA panel.

Safety is a critical issue in test facilities. The proposals will also be pre-screened for issues which could jeopardize the safety of personnel and/or equipment. Early in a team's proposal preparation stage, a team may wish to contact the WING NASA committee to discuss the safety aspects of a proposed experiment concept.

The rubric in Tables I to IV is that which will be used by the NASA team to evaluate and score the WING proposals. A team should familiarize themselves with this scoring rubric when preparing a proposal. The rubric sections and the possible points by section are summarized in the table below.

If proposal scores are statistically close, selection preference will be given to proposing organizations who have not been selected in previous WING or DIME years and/or who are in geographical areas not represented in previous WING or DIME years.



WING Proposal Evaluation Flow

WING Proposal Possible Scores by Section

I. FORCES & MOTIONS INVOLVED	17
II. TECHNICAL PLAN	18
III. TEAM ORGANIZATION	5
IV. CREATIVITY	5
Total	45

Table I. FORCES & MOTIONS INVOLVED

	A. RESEARCH QUESTION / HYPOTHESIS		
POINTS	1. Does the proposal have a clear use of forces & motion?	2. Is microgravity a major factor in this proposed experiment?	3. Will the experiment work in 2.2 seconds?
1	No mention of Forces & Motion in the proposal.	No clear con- nection to microgravity is explained.	Unable to detect factors in experiment to determine response time.
2	Forces & Motion is mentioned but its use is unclear.	The need for microgravity effect is unclear or was not explained clearly.	The information is unclear or not stated; the experiment samples may need to be modified.
3	A research question and hypothesis are present but both are poorly stated, or may contain inaccuracies.	A microgravity effect is clear and somewhat utilized in the experiment.	Response time short enough for reaction to be complete within 2.2 seconds.
4	A clear research question and hypothesis are present and variables are identified.	The microgravity effect is clearly stated and is utilized in the experiment.	N/A

	B. POTENTIAL BENEFITS	
POINTS	Potential results to illustrate Forces & Motion in classroom	2. Classroom discussion of the actions within experiment
1	No benefits cited in proposal or benefits are questionable.	No practical applications to class- room learning cited in proposal.
2	Benefits are cited.	Practical applications are cited.
3	Benefit cited as a reason for considering the experiment topic.	Practical applications cited as a reason for considering the experiment topic.

Table II. TECHNICAL PLAN

	A. DESIGN PLAN		
POINTS	1. Is there a clear and detailed description of the experimental apparatus?	2. Are legible drawing(s) included?	3. Will the design allow video and other data to be collected that documents the operation?
1	No technical description is presented.	No drawings are included.	Plan mentions data or data analysis, but provides no specifics.
2	A good start, but many key questions are not addressed. Plan is not clearly described; there appear to be serious technical problems.	Good drawings, but not enough detail.	Plan will likely yield relevant data, provides plans for appropriate analysis, but has some issues.
3	Reasonably clear, but some key questions are not addressed. The ex- periment seems practi- cal.	Good drawings, but some key details are not addressed.	Plan will likely yield relevant data, plans for appropriate analysis are practicable, and requires little, if any, modification.
4	Clear and thorough, most key questions are addressed. The technical description is clear and complete or requires only small changes to adapt the experiment to the drop tower.	Clear and thorough drawings with key questions addressed.	N/A

	B. DESIGN SAFETY	TESTING AT HOME LOCATION
POINTS	Does the design meet the safety and interface requirements?	Does the team describe the testing they will do in their home location before shipping experiment to NASA.
1	Information presented is vague or confusing.	No discussion about testing is mentioned.
2	A good start, but many key questions are not addressed. Information is not clearly described; there appear to be serious problems.	Testing was mentioned but detail on the testing is not discussed.
3	Information is reasonably clear, but some key areas are not addressed.	Both discussion of the testing to be done in the classroom is mentioned and details on how it is done is given.
4	Clear and thorough, most key areas are addressed. The information is clear and complete or requires only minor changes to meet requirements.	N/A

Table III. TEAM ORGANIZATION

	A. Evidence of relevant skills and experiences	B. Team Support
POINTS	The proposal states clearly the contributions and skills for each team member and how all the members of the team will share an appropriate distribution of workload and responsibilities.	Has the team effective- ly enlisted the support and cooperation of the school and commu- nity?
1	No information is provided.	No information is provided.
2	Description of division of labor is provided.	The team presents a plan to enlist the support they require.
3	N/A	Qualified individuals are listed along with their skills.

Table IV. CREATIVITY, ORIGINALITY, ATTENTION TO DETAIL

POINTS	1. The proposal shows a creative way to study an idea.	2. The proposal shows attention to detail through correct spelling/ grammar/ format.
1	No creativity applied. Simple use of standard lab experiment.	Spelling errors, grammatical errors, and/or format problems are very evident.
2	Creative experiment idea for microgravity investigation.	Some such errors exist, but are not prevalent.
3	N/A	No problems in this area.

Acknowledgements

The *What If No Gravity?* and *Dropping In a Microgravity Environment* programs are a cooperative effort of many organizations.

- Teaching From Space Program, NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston, Texas
- Educational Programs Office, NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio
- ISS and Human Research Project Office, NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio
- Space Processes and Experiments Division, NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio
- National Center for Space Exploration Research, NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio
- Office of Education, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC

Critical support comes from the staff of the NASA Glenn 2.2 Second Drop Tower and other center organizations.

Documents and other information related to the WING and DIME programs may be accessed at the following World Wide Web address:

http://spaceflightsystems.grc.nasa.gov/DIME.html

NOTE:

Use of commercial names and products does not imply an endorsement by NASA.

This publication is in the Public Domain and is not protected by copyright. Permission is not required for duplication for classroom use. For all other uses, please give credit to NASA.